	Dedicated to my Geometrical Optics professor, William Brown, OD, PhD, who always taught the geometry first.
Geometrical Optics	Reference:
2021	Isaac Barrows Optical Lectures, 1667 Translated by H.C. Fay Edited by A.G. Bennett Publisher:
Gregg Baldwin OD	The Worshipful Company of Spectacle Makers London, England; 1987 ISBN # 0-951-2217-0-1
1	2

Geometrical Optics - 2021

1). images seen through water	(slide)	#4
2). prerequisite geometry		#24
3). refraction along a line		#49
4). refraction along a circle		#53
5). refraction through a circle's center		#69
6). afocal angular magnification/minifi	ication	#78
7). retinal image size magnification		#82
8). axial magnification of distance cor	rectior	า #86
9). axial magnification of near correct	ion	#95
10). object angular subtense magnific	ation	#101
11). stand magnifier magnification		#107
12). crossed cylinders		#114

З

1). images seen through water

4

If an underwater object D is at a perpendicular distance BD from line BN along the water's surface, the image of the object seen directly above from air, (along BD), is at Z; and BD/BZ = 4/3.

5

Isaac Barrow showed that the image of object D, (when seen from Q *obliquely* along image ray MNQ), lies above the object, but also towards the observer relative to DB.



As the first step in finding an oblique image ray XNQ, along which the image of object D is seen at a designated point X, Isaac Barrow described a method of finding *all* possible oblique image rays through the designated point X, without knowing their points of refraction (N) along the surface of the water, or their intersections (M) with the perpendicular DB.



To do this, he first drew a *reference right triangle* created by drawing BE = BZ as shown, which created the following constant ratios for air/water refraction:

BD/BZ = BD/BE = 4/3 $DB/DE = 4/\sqrt{(16-9)} = 1.5$ $ED/EB = \sqrt{(16-9)/3} = 0.87$



He showed that, given a designated desired clear image location X, if we draw PW as shown, where:

6

8

PW/PX = DB/DE = 1.5





He showed that there can be a maximum of *two* image rays through a designated point X, since only two reference line segments within the right angle $_{<}$ (Y)B(N), and equaling his calculated constant YN, can fit through point W. This is true since Y₂N₂ = Y₁N₁ means that the right triangle Δ Y₂BN₂ must equal the right triangle Δ N₁BY₁.

Isaac Barrow showed that YN can be drawn as the shortest segment through W bounded by the right angle \angle (Y)B(N) when right triangles \triangle YBN, \triangle NWT, and \triangle TWY are all drawn as similar.



The *length* of YN through a designated W and bounded by the right angle \angle (Y)B(N) must be varied as it is rotated about W to find the position of its minimum length. Therefore, the position of N and Y must change to find N that corresponds to an image ray QNXM with its clear image at the designated (unchanging) point X. Furthermore, since:

10

PW/PX = DB/DE is constant, ED/EB = DB/YN is also constant, so DB varies with the length YN as a constant proportion. With an object underwater, Isaac Barrow's method does not allow for finding the location of the image ray on which a designated clear image is seen, while keeping both the image location *and the object position* constant. It does, however, allow for a geometric understanding of the conditions required to provide a clear image. As will be now demonstrated, with an object in air, Isaac Barrow's method actually *does* allow for finding the location of the image ray on which a designated clear image is seen, while keeping both the image location and the object position constant.

13

If an object D in air is at a perpendicular distance BD from line BN along the water's surface, the image of the object along that perpendicular when seen from underwater is at Z, and BZ/BD = 4/3.

A *reference right triangle* created by drawing BE = BD as shown, creates the following additional constant ratios:

14

BZ/BE = 4/3ZB/ZE = 4/ $\sqrt{(16-9)} = 1.5$ EZ/EB = $\sqrt{(16-9)/3} = 0.87$



Isaac Barrow showed that the image of object D, (when seen from Q *obliquely* along image ray MNQ), lies above the object, but also away from the observer relative to BD.



As the first step in finding an oblique image ray XMNQ, along which the image of object D is seen at a designated point X, Isaac Barrow described a method of finding *all* possible oblique image rays through point X, without knowing their points of refraction (N) along the surface of the water, or their intersections (M) with the perpendicular BD.





He showed that there can be a maximum of *two* image rays through any designated point X, since only two reference line segments within the right angle \angle (W)P(N), and equaling his calculated constant WN, can fit through point Y.



The point X that is the clear image of object D seen along a to-bedetermined XMNQ is found using the *minimum* reference line segment length (W)Y(N) through Y, that is bounded by the right angle \angle (W)P(N).



Isaac Barrow showed that WN can be drawn as the shortest segment through Y bounded by the right angle \angle (W)P(N) when right triangles \triangle WPN, \triangle NYT, and \triangle WYT are all drawn as similar.



As any two equal segments W_1YN_1 and W_2YN_2 are rotated about Y in order to approach their single common minimum length, N₂ approaches N₁, and ΔN approaches zero. Both the positions of N₂ and N₁ must change during this process of finding the point N associated with a designated clear image X.

Since Y (not W) is the pivot point as segments W_1YN_1 and W_2YN_2 rotate, BY remains unchanged. Therefore, BD also remains unchanged because BY/BD = BZ/BE. Therefore, unlike when the object is in water, when the object is in air, this method can find an image ray XMNQ that will produce a designated clear X, while holding the object position constant.

21

2). prerequisite geometry

22



Since conversely, equal angles along a circle subtend equal arcs, any angle along any circle can be defined in terms of its subtended arc and the circle's diameter.

For example: $\angle RFJ = \sim RJ/EU$

Triangles need only two equal angles to be the same shape, (or ≅). Since equal arcs subtend equal angles along a circle:

 $\Delta \mathsf{EJD}\,\cong\,\Delta \mathsf{DFI}$

FD/FI = JE/JD





















The off-axis rays from any on-axis object A, (real or virtual), can not form a virtual on-axis image at Z because NW must be less than CP for Z to be virtual; but NW must also be greater than NT.



The off-axis rays from any real onaxis object A can not form a real on-axis image at Z because NW must be greater than (or equal to) CP for Z to be real; but NW must also be greater than NT.



The off-axis rays from any real on-axis object A can not form a real on-axis image at Z because NW must be greater than (or equal to, as shown here) CP for Z to be real; but NW must also be greater than NT.



The off-axis rays from a virtual on-axis object A *can* form a real on-axis image at Z, if NW is greater than CP, and WT lies along the axis.

C

7



Since: $\angle NWT = \angle NPO = \angle NCO$ and NW ||CP

WT lies along the axis when:

65

67

 $\Delta NCO \cong \Delta ZCP$



When off-axis rays from a virtual on-axis object A form a real on-axis image Z, this occurs at all points N because:



N

T

 $\Delta ACN \cong \Delta NCZ$ for all N



Refraction through a circle's center occurs when N lies at B, so that an object's ray from A to N lies along ABC, and an image ray lies along BCZ. The locations of the object A and image Z along the optic axis BC are described by the equation:

 $\mathbf{R} = CO/CP = (AC/AB)(ZB/ZC)$

If we draw A and Z along the optic axis BC **as if** it were a circle, and draw CDL so that AL || ZB: $\Delta ACB \cong \Delta ZCD$, and: (AC/AB)(ZB/ZC) =(ZC/ZD)(ZB/ZC) =(ZB/ZD)so as the reference circle's radius $\Rightarrow \infty$ $(ZB/ZD) \Rightarrow \mathbf{R}$



BN

72



HZ II CL ZB/ZD = HB/HC Δ HBZ $\cong \Delta$ HJC when Δ HJC = Δ IAB: HC = IB, and: IB/IA = HZ/HB

This results in **Newton's Equation** as the reference circle's radius $\Rightarrow \infty$:

(AI)(ZH) = (BI)(BH)



<text>

as the reference circle's radius $\Rightarrow \infty$: [1/(HZ)(BA)] = [1/(HC)(BZ)] $\Rightarrow \mathbf{R}/(HB)(BZ)$ and the resulting possible sums occur:

74

HZ=HB+BZHB=HZ+BZBZ=HZ+HB

which, when multiplied by the above three factors, form the **conjugate foci** equations.

The conjugate foci equations allow for the effect of axial refraction at a circle to be expressed as the term:

(1/HC) = (R/HB)

which is then additive with object vergence, defined as (1/BA); or image vergence, defined as (*R*/BZ).

77



78

Afocal Angular Magnification

When distance refraction at ~JDE is followed by refraction into distance at ~QGS along axis DGF as shown; as \angle JFD = \angle SFG, and both approach zero:



Afocal Angular Minification

Or when distance refraction at ~JDE is followed by refraction into distance at ~QGS along axis FDG, as shown; as \angle JFD = \angle SFG, and both approach zero:



 $\theta/\alpha \Rightarrow (\sim LD/GD)/(\sim YG/GD) \text{ as } P \Rightarrow F$ $\theta/\alpha \Rightarrow (FD/FG) \text{ as } P \Rightarrow F$ so that afocal <u>axial</u> angular magnification/minification equals: FD/FG = 1

The top diagram illustrates a standard single-surfaced eye with a distant object A, and resulting retinal image size H_oZ_o.



The bottom diagram illustrates any single-surfaced eye with a distant object A, and resulting retinal image size HZ.



As $N \Rightarrow B$, the retinal image size magnification, $ZH/Z_{o}H_{o}$, (relative to an arbitrary standard which factors out with 8). axial magnification of distance subsequent comparisons), then approaches correction its axial value: $ZQ/Z_0Q_0 = ZC/Z_0C_0 = HC/H_0C_0$ $= (BH/R)/(BH_o/R) = BH/BH_o$ 85 86 Once again

representing the optic axis BCZ as a circle of infinite radius, the distant object A is focused by the curve of radius BC towards the axial object Z, (which lies at the retina H when there is no distance refractive error).



additional refraction at G (at B) will create distance refractive error and a combined single refractive surface of radius BL.





Since the distance correction at D moves Z to H, rays leaving G after this correction must be afocal, resulting in afocal axial angular magnification equaling:

91

FD/FG (= FD/FB)



The (total) axial magnification of distance correction equals:

 $M = (BH/BH_{o})(FD/FB)$

When the front surface of a spectacle lens that corrects distance refractive error is not flat, it is convex; and adds an additional "shape" factor, (fq/ft), to the afocal axial magnification of distance correction. (Point "t" lies at D, and FD/FB remains the "power" factor of the afocal axial magnification of distance correction).



 $\Delta EBH \cong \Delta EJL$

If E is at H_o , the distance refractive error is completely due to an axial length that is not standard.

If $\Delta EJL \cong \Delta I_0 FB$, then:

 $M = (FB/FI_o)(FD/FB) = FD/FI_o$

There is then no (total) axial magnification of distance correction if the correction D lies at I_o , the front focal point of the standard eye.

94

9). axial magnification of near correction

There is no afocal axial angular magnification FD/FB when object A is at distance with an emetropic eye. (The refractive error at G, (at B), is zero; and the focal point F of that refractive error lies at infinity).



There is also no afocal axial angular magnification when object A is at the front focal point of an uncorrected myopic eye. (The system is not afocal, and involves only one refracting element).







FD/FG < 1

and this is relative to either the myopic eye with object A at its front focal point F, or the emetropic eye with object A at distance.

98

Removing the myopic distance correction at D with a converging lens at D removes this afocal axial angular magnification with the factor:



FG/FD > 1

and this magnification of near correction is relative to the distance corrected myope.

97

If additional converging power is added to the converging lens so that the near focal point is in focus for an *emetropic* eye, which we then consider to be the reference eye, the magnification of near correction is still that which is removed with the factor:



FG/FD > 1



The ratio describing axial object angular subtense magnification:

BFs/BF

when multiplied by the ratio describing near magnification due to a single converging lens producing parallel light for an emmetropic eye: produces a ratio which factors out the object's actual distance to the eye, confirming that when a converging lens is used with its front focal point at the object, so parallel light leaves the converging lens from the object, the image size is the same regardless of the object-to-eye distance.

106

FB/FD

11). stand magnifier magnification

105

When the converging lens at D is split into two converging lenses:





T

De can be located using triangles.

$$D_2G/D_2F = DeQ/DeF$$

 $D_2G/D_2F_1 = D_1J/D_1F_1$

$$D_2F(DeQ/DeF) = D_2F_1(D_1J/D_1F_1)$$

 $DeQ/DeF = (D_2F_1/D_2F)(D_1J/D_1F_1)$

 $1/\text{DeF} = (D_2F_1/D_2F)(1/D_1F_1)$

$$FB/FDe = (D_2F_1/D_2F)(FB/D_1F_1)$$

111

q D_1 D_2 F F_1 the ratio describing axial near magnification due to a single converging lens producing parallel light for an emmetropic eye:

FB/FD

must be expressed *as if* all convergence occurred at a single unknown axial point De:

FB/FDe

110

Multiplying the axial object subtense magnification by the axial magnification of near correction (relative to the same eye without refractive error) produces:

 $BFs/FDe = (D_2F_1/D_2F)(BFs/D_1F_1)$

The converging lens D_2 creates a virtual image F_1 of an object at F. When considering a stand magnifier with lens D_2 , constant stand height D_2F , and reading spectacle add or ocular accommodation D_1 , the stand magnifier's (constant) enlargement of the object at F equals:

$$\mathsf{E} = \mathsf{D}_2\mathsf{F}_1/\mathsf{D}_2\mathsf{F}$$

The stand magnifier's axial magnification is its (constant) enlargement factor E, multiplied by what would be produced by D_1 alone, if the object A were at F_1 .

12). crossed cylinders

114

113

It is useful to know the meridian of maximum axial refraction when combining the effects of two cylindrical refracting surfaces at an oblique axis. To do this, we need to first describe how their axial radii of curvature change with various meridional cross sections. Meridional cross sections of cylindrical surfaces are ellipses until they become parallel lines along the cylinder axis. However, assuming a cylinder is parabolic rather than spherical, and that meridional cross sections are parabolic until they rotate into a single line parallel to the cylinder axis, allows for a much simpler approximation of the axial radii of curvature of these meridional cross sections. When these axial radii of curvature are expressed in forms that are additive in terms of refraction, we can then find the maximum sum of those expressions in terms of the meridional axis. With any axial radius of curvature CB, and index of refraction *R*, the axial image of a distant object lies at H when:

R = HB/HC H c β

117

The axial refractive effects of compound refractive surfaces at B are additive only as their refractive "powers," which equal:

R/HB = 1/HC = [(HB - HC)/HC]/CB = (R - 1)/CB

All parabolas have the same shape, in the same way that all circles have the same shape. However, while circles have a single (internal) determining constant, the radius of curvature, parabolas have both a determining constant internal and external to the curve, and can be defined by either. For example, a parabola's external determining constant equals BK when:

118



[2(SN) equals the sagitta corresponding to the sagittal depth SB].



Since:

 $\frac{TN}{TB} = \frac{TN}{2(TY)} = \frac{YB}{2(XB)} = \frac{YB}{CB} = \frac{TB}{2(CB)}$

We know the external determining constant BK equals 2(CB), and the internal determining constant XB equals (CB)/2.



When 2(SO) equals the minimum sagitta of an oblique parabolic cylinder, and when with equal sagittal depth SB, 2(SV) equals the minimum sagitta of a more highly curved parabolic cylinder with a horizontal axis:

125



Keeping Δ OSV constant, as we rotate circle SOG with variable diameter SV'O' around point S:

∠OO'G is constant because ∠OSG is constant,

so $\Delta \theta$ = $-\Delta \alpha$





Since the sum (SO' + SV') increases when either:

 $O' \Rightarrow O, \text{ or } V' \Rightarrow V$

there must be a specific SV'O' within Δ OSV producing a minimum sum (SO' + SV'), which must be near where small rotations produce only minimal changes in (SO' + SV').

131

Since as when one term of the sum (SO' + SV')increases, the other always decreases, this process can be taken to its limits to determine the meridian with minimum (SO' + SV') using:

However, the combined effects of refraction are additive only as refractive powers, which, when $\mathbf{R} = 1.5$, equal: SB/(SO') ² and SB/(SV') ²	Therefore, the meridian with the maximum combined effects of this refraction can be found using: $\begin{split} & \lim_{\alpha \to 0} \Delta \left[SB/(SO')^2 \right] = \lim_{\alpha \to 0} \Delta \left[SB/(SV')^2 \right] \\ & \Delta \theta \to 0 \qquad \qquad \Delta \alpha \to 0 \end{split}$ To solve this equation, all variables must be expressed in terms of the variables approaching zero, so:
$\begin{array}{l} eq:limit_l$	{Limit as $\Delta \theta \Rightarrow 0$ of [$\Delta \sin^2 \theta$]}/{Limit as $\Delta \alpha \Rightarrow 0$ of [$\Delta \sin^2 \alpha$]} = [SO ² /SV ²]



Therefore, the meridian with the maximum combined effects of refraction can be found using:

$$\frac{\sin 2\theta}{\sin 2\alpha} = \frac{SO^2}{SV^2}$$

The first step to solve this problem is to divide SV into SaV so that:

The first step to solve this problem is to divide SV
into SaV so that:

$$\frac{SO^2}{SV^2} = \frac{aS}{aV}$$

$$\frac{Sj}{SV^2} = \frac{Sj}{aV}$$

$$\frac{Sj}{SV} = \frac{Sj}{SV^2} = \frac{Sj}{SV^2} = \frac{SO^2}{SV^2}$$

$$\frac{Similar \ triangles}{SV^2} = \frac{S}{aV}$$

$$\frac{SO^2}{SV^2} = \frac{aS}{aV}$$

$$\frac{SO^2}{SV^2} = \frac{aS}{aV}$$

$$\frac{SO^2}{V} = \frac{SO^2}{AV}$$

Make SO = Sj \perp SV to construct:

The easiest way to do this involves a template of various circles, each with the location of their diameters already marked.



SV' is the meridian with the maximum combined effects of refraction because:



 $\frac{SO^{2}}{SV^{2}} = \frac{aS}{aV} = \frac{FZ}{ZV} = \frac{FQ/2}{RV/2} = \frac{FQ}{RV} = \frac{\sin 2\theta}{\sin 2\alpha}$

146

Double-angle Method:

145

147

Given constant $\triangle OSV$: $_{2}FSV$ is constant $_{2}FSV + (\theta + \alpha) = \pi$ $(\theta + \alpha)$ Is constant

We have already shown how to find single angles $\theta + \alpha$ so that:

 $\frac{SO^2}{SV^2} = \frac{aS}{aV} = \frac{\sin 2\theta}{\sin 2\alpha}$



An angle on a circle equals its inscribed arc, divided by the arc's diameter. Since the sum of all angles measured on a circle's circumference add to π , when measured from a circle's center they add to 2π .



